

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/812,477	WANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Frank Duong	2616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Frank Duong. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Simon Anolick (Reg. No. 37,585). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 March 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called and left Mr. Steven May (attorney of record) a voicemail message, on March 16, 2006, to request an authorization to do an examiner's amend to have "stored in a computer readable medium" inserted between the "program" and "for" of claim 15 to satisfy the 101 requirement. On March 20, 2006, Mr. Anolick calls and informs Examiner that he is the attorney of record. Mr. Anolick also authorizes Examiner to do the requested examiner's amendment. The instant application's status will be reflected in the accompany Office Action in view of this Interview Summary.